Source Identification on High PM$_{2.5}$ Days using SEM/EDS, XRF, Raman, and Windblown Dust Modeling

Jeff Wagner*, Zhong-Min Wang, Sutapa Ghosal, and Stephen Wall$^1$

Environmental Health Laboratory, California Department of Public Health, Richmond, CA

Abstract

When community exposures to PM$_{2.5}$ are high, identification of the particle sources enables more effective control and assessment of health impacts. This study demonstrates forensic particle analysis methods that can be used when only limited, archived samples are available. Federal reference method (FRM) filters from seven high PM$_{2.5}$ days were analyzed using optical and electron microscopy, X-ray fluorescence, and Raman micro-spectroscopy to determine individual particle morphology and composition, together with supplemental wind roses, GIS mapping, FRM inlet penetration calculations, and windblown dust modeling. This approach identified three distinct sources of high PM$_{2.5}$ measurements: 1) local, wind-blown dust from an atypical direction, consistent with modeling predictions for a normally operating PM$_{2.5}$ inlet challenged with a high concentration of windblown dust particles, potentially enhanced by re-entrainment of particles from within the inlet, 2) wintertime, regional, hygroscopic, nitrogen- and sulfur-rich salts, consistent with ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate, and 3) sampling or documentation error. This approach can be used in any location in which regulatory PM filters and publicly available air data are available.
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INTRODUCTION

Rural communities can be exposed to airborne particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters less than 2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) (PM\(_{2.5}\)) from a range of compositions and sources, including windblown dust, biological and plant aerosols, crop burning, and diesel exhaust from construction and vehicles (Record and Baci, 1980; Watson and Chow, 2001; Harnly et al, 2012). When measurements of community exposures to PM\(_{2.5}\) are determined to be high compared to health standards, identification of the most prominent PM\(_{2.5}\) sources enables more effective control and assessment of potential health impacts.

Where feasible, high PM\(_{2.5}\) measurements of concern can be augmented with additional air measurements, known source profiles, and chemical mass balance (CMB) or positive matrix factorization (PMF) modeling to quantify the relative PM\(_{2.5}\) source contributions statistically (Oh et al, 2011; Wang et al, 2012). In some cases, however, limited resources may preclude any supplemental sampling. In addition, if an investigation occurs years after the original measurements, new measurements may no longer be relevant to the historical conditions. Further, if the high PM\(_{2.5}\) measurements of concern were made with regulatory monitors, the data may only exist for specific, scheduled days and locations. When CMB and PMF analyses are not possible due to the availability of just a few samples, alternative methods that can provide insight into likely sources are needed, even if semi-quantitative.

PM\(_{2.5}\) data from monitoring networks of US EPA Federal Reference Method (FRM) samplers are one example of data that are routinely reviewed to understand local air quality and develop control strategies (US EPA, 2008). Conventional FRM gravimetric filter analyses yield only total
PM mass, however, and are of limited value in assessing PM$_{2.5}$ source contributions. Bulk filter analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry or X-ray fluorescence (XRF) are standard methods for determining composition on PM$_{2.5}$ filters, are capable of integrating over the entire filter, and are useful for broad source attributions (Ham et al, 2010). Scanning electron microscopy plus energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS) additionally enables characterization of individual particle size, morphology, and elemental composition, which can be used to provide more detailed source inferences (Watson et al, 2012; Wagner et al, 2013). Raman micro-spectroscopy can also be used to characterize individual particles’ molecular chemistry, including organic compounds and specific mineral groups (Batonneau et al, 2006; Reid et al, 2007; Ghosal and Wagner, 2013). SEM/EDS and Raman methods typically examine regular arrays or randomly-selected sub-regions of a sample only, so assumptions must be made that these regions are representative of the entire sample. Any inferences about likely sources gained from the above laboratory results can be further evaluated against publicly available databases of regional meteorology, PM$_{2.5}$ trends, land cover, and area sources (Wagner and Casuccio, 2013), in addition to knowledge of any potential artifacts associated with the sampling devices used.

This work presents a forensic analysis approach that integrates laboratory analyses, publicly available data, and PM$_{2.5}$ sampling considerations to identify the likely sources of high PM$_{2.5}$ in a community when only limited, archived samples are available. The approach is demonstrated on FRM PM$_{2.5}$ air samples from a rural California community on seven targeted, high-PM$_{2.5}$ days in 2013-2015. Individual particles on these filters were analyzed using a combination of optical microscopy, SEM/EDS, and Raman micro-spectroscopy to determine inferences based on particle morphology and composition. These results were combined with bulk XRF filter
analyses, supplemental wind roses, geospatial database mapping, and FRM inlet and windblown
dust modeling to aid in interpretation of the microscopy data.

METHODS

Twelve 24-hour FRM PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected on 37 mm diameter Teflon filters (Table 1) were
shipped to the lab in standard, barcoded, plastic Petri-slide containers. The local monitoring
agency that collected them provided gravimetric PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, site operator notes, and a
PM$_{2.5}$ filter blank. The filters had been collected by the local air district using FRM samplers
with very sharp cut cyclone (VSCC) PM$_{2.5}$ inlets.

The location at which seven high PM$_{2.5}$ values were recorded was sited at a municipal airport and
was denoted Location A. The FRM at this site was at ground level, with an inlet height of
approximately 2 m. A comparison FRM PM$_{2.5}$ site within the same town, approximately 7 miles
northwest, was employed as a reference site and was denoted Location B. The FRM at this site
was on a rooftop, with an inlet height 6 m above ground.

The first filter targeted for analysis was filter A2, collected in May 2013 at Location A on a high
PM$_{2.5}$ day. Five other FRM filters were analyzed for comparison: filters A1 and A3 were
collected on lower PM$_{2.5}$ days immediately before and after A2 at the same site, and filters B1,
B2, and B3 were collected on the same corresponding days at the reference site. Six additional
high-PM$_{2.5}$ filters were collected from Location A in winter 2013-2014 (A4-A6) and winter
Filters were first documented photographically, then inspected using a low-power, Leica SD6 stereozoom reflecting light microscope. Subsequently, non-destructive surveys were conducted on the uncut, whole filters using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) / energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), x-ray fluorescence (XRF), and Raman microspectroscopy (RMS).

SEM/EDS analysis was conducted with a FEI XL30 Environmental SEM with tungsten source and Thermo Fisher Scientific Noran System 7 EDS system. No conductive coating was applied to facilitate the use of multiple analytical techniques. Improved image resolution and particle stability were achieved relative to typical high-vacuum SEM by injecting an atmosphere of 0.5-1 mBar of water vapor. Manual analyses were conducted with a back-scattered electron (BSE) detector to identify major constituents and any distinctive trace species (e.g., heavy metals.) EDS spectra were obtained from both single particles and larger integrated areas 100-200 µm across. The EDS weight percent calculations excluded oxygen, as well as carbon and fluorine from the Teflon filter background. As such, any carbon contribution from particulate soot or organic matter could not be measured in these samples. EDS measurements were calibrated with a NIST 2066 standard, but are only semi quantitative for non-flat specimens. In addition, higher resolution SEM imaging also was conducted with a second instrument, a Tescan MIRA3 field emission SEM (FESEM) in high vacuum mode with a BSE detector.

XRF analysis was performed on select samples with a Panalytical Epsilon 5 (Panalytical Inc. Westborough, MA) to determine the integrated composition of the entire filter for comparison to the more localized SEM/EDS data. The XRF was calibrated with commercially available air filter standards and a blank sample from Micromatter (Vancouver, Canada). The standards were composed of pure elements and compounds deposited on 37 mm Nucleopore filters. The sample air filters were placed in a customized stainless steel air filter sample holder (Panalytical Inc.)
along with an X-ray monitor, an air filter monitor, and the filter blank, and were analyzed using an automated sequence.

RMS analysis was conducted to identify particle mineral phases on three FRM PM$_{2.5}$ filters from May 2013 (A1, A2, B2). Particles were transferred from randomly selected filter areas by touching either carbon tabs or aluminum foil mounted on SEM stubs to the filter surface. The spatial resolution of Raman acquisitions with the 100x objective was typically on the order of 1 $\mu$m. Analyses were performed using either a Renishaw inVia or Bruker Senterra dispersive Raman microscope with both 785 nm and 532 nm lasers. Particles were identified using an automated spectral search algorithm against a spectral library of known compounds (GRAMS Spectral ID).

Supplemental wind roses were generated using public, hourly wind data from the Location B station in 2013 (http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqmis2/aqmis2.php and http://www.airnowtech.org) and a custom Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Wind data for location A could not be used for generating wind roses due to missing data for several dates in 2013. In instances when the data was available at both sites simultaneously, wind directions and lower wind speed magnitudes were generally consistent. In instances of higher winds, Location A tended to record higher speeds than Location B. Publicly available FRM PM$_{2.5}$ data at Locations A and B and beta attenuation monitor (BAM) PM$_{2.5}$ data at Location B were obtained from the US EPA AQS Data Mart (https://aqs.epa.gov/api) for comparison purposes. Geographic information systems (GIS) mapping was used as an aid to interpret the wind data and the impact of local surroundings. Public data from the Landsat satellite-based GAP National Land Cover Database (US Geological Survey, 2011) were imported and mapped with ArcMap (ESRI, Redlands, CA).
Modeling was conducted to calculate the theoretical penetration of windblown dust particles through a FRM PM10 head preceding a VSCC PM2.5 inlet. The particle size distribution input to the model was a trimodal aerosol common to many measured windblown dusts worldwide (Alfaro et al., 1998). The mass median diameter for the three modes of this trimodal aerosol were 1.5 \( \mu m \), 6.7 \( \mu m \), and 14.2 \( \mu m \), respectively, and the geometric standard deviations were 1.7, 1.6, and 1.5. Two high-wind cases were modeled, also derived from Alfaro et al.’s measurements of windblown dust size distributions: a) turbulent friction velocity \( u^* = 66 \) cm/s, for which the mass percentages of the three modes are 20%, 70%, and 10%, respectively, and b) \( u^* = 52 \) cm/s, for which the mass percentages of the three modes are 0%, 80%, and 20%, respectively. These trimodal distributions were then multiplied by an empirical PM10 inlet penetration curve (Keywood, 1999) and an approximation to the ideal well impactor ninety-six (WINS) PM2.5 inlet efficiency curve (Zeng, 2006), the latter of which is very similar to measured VSCC data (Kenny et al., 2004). Aerodynamic particle sizes were converted to physical sizes and masses using an assumed dynamic shape factor, volume shape factor, and particle density of 1.36, 1.34, and 2.0 g/cm\(^3\), respectively (Wagner and Leith, 2001.) Finally, the overall magnitude of the size distribution was adjusted for each the two tested wind speed cases so that PM2.5 = 167 \( \mu g/m^3 \), corresponding to sample A2.

**RESULTS**

*Stereozoom Microscopy*

Visual inspection of the A2 high-PM2.5 filter deposit revealed a yellow-tan color, compared to a
gray coloration for all other filters from that month (Figure 1ab). Under the stereozoom microscope, the blue-white, fibrous Teflon filter background was visible in all samples except A2, which was completely covered with a very thick loading of particles. The fact that no blue-white filter background was visible in A2 may account for the relatively yellow appearance compared to the other filters. A2 also exhibited the strongest pattern of 150 µm-diameter, circular deposits of particles corresponding to airflow through the sampler cassette backing screens (Figure 1c). When viewed at 80x with the stereozoom microscope, all six filters from May 2013 exhibited instances of rare, very large particles >10 µm.

Five of the six filters from wintertime periods in 2013-5 (A4, A5, A6, A8, and A9) were relatively dark gray in color and possessed very large particles, consistent with their high concentrations on the order of 100 µg/m³. 80x stereozoom images (Figure 1d) of these large particles showed they were semi-transparent, and concentrated and centered on the flow deposition patterns of the filters. Filter A7, on the other hand, exhibited a nearly white color.

**SEM/EDS and XRF**

4,000x SEM images confirmed a much higher fraction of large conchoidal particles and flakes >2.5 µm on filter A2 compared to all other filters from May 2013. Figure 2 compares SEM images of filter A2 to A1, A3, and B2; particle morphologies in filters B1 and B3 were similar to A1, A3, and B2. Most of the larger particles in A2 were 4-5 µm in physical diameter. These flakes were very thin when examined under higher SEM magnifications, consistent with quartz or mica particles (Figure 3a). Figure 3b shows two of the more rarely observed 20 µm flake particles. Note that these 2-dimensional, physical particle sizes observed with microscopy are
slightly larger than their corresponding aerodynamic diameters ($d_a$). Using the range of particle shape factors and densities reported by Wagner and Leith (2001) for several common mineral dusts, the aerodynamic diameters are estimated to be 0-25% smaller than the corresponding projected area–equivalent diameters ($d_{pa}$). This range was confirmed by calculating $d_a = d_{ev} \times \left( \frac{\rho_p C_{c, dev}}{\rho_0 C_{c, da} / S_d} \right)^{0.5}$, where $S_d$ is the dynamic shape factor, $\rho_0$ is unit density, $C_c$ is the Cunningham correction factor calculated with either $d_a$ or $d_{ev}$, $d_{ev}$ is the equivalent volume diameter [$= d_{pa} / S_v$], $S_v$ is the volume shape factor, and $\rho_p$ is the particle density. Using the parameter ranges of $S_d = 1.4-1.7$, $S_v = 1.3-1.5$, and $\rho_p = 2.5-2.7$ from Wagner and Leith, the calculated $d_a / d_{pa}$ ranged from 0.75 – 1.1. Thus, a particle with a 5 $\mu$m physical diameter was assumed to correspond to an aerodynamic diameter of 4-5 $\mu$m.

SEM/EDS spectra integrated over randomly-chosen fields from four of the May 2013 filters (Figure 4a) all exhibited primarily silicon and aluminum, with varying, lesser amounts of iron, sulfur, calcium, potassium, sodium, and chlorine. This collection of elements and morphologies is consistent with wind-blown crustal materials (soil, sand, and salt), which dominate all of these filters. The high-PM2.5 sample A2 exhibited higher silicon percentages, perhaps due to a higher proportion of sand (primarily silicon and oxygen) compared to other common soil constituents, such as aluminum silicate clays and micas (primarily aluminum, silicon, potassium, iron, and magnesium), limestone (calcium), gypsum (calcium and sulfur), and salts (sodium, sulfur, and chlorine). SEM/EDS data acquired from individual particles (Figure 4b) from all six filters were all very similar to the large field SEM/EDS data, demonstrating that these particles were fairly homogeneous in composition. The XRF data integrated across the entire filter surfaces (Figure 4c) generally confirmed the more localized SEM/EDS results, though filter A2 exhibited a more modest increase in silicon when measured by XRF, along with a slightly greater increase in iron.
Figure 5 shows particle data from filters A4, A6, and A9. Along with A5 and A8, these filters all exhibited large particles with morphologies ranging from rounded to polygonal/prismatic with distinct geometric faces. The particles had a tendency to bubble and visibly deteriorate when heated by the SEM beam (Figure 5a). Many of these particles were on the order of 10 $\mu$m in size (Figure 5a), but some were as large as 150 $\mu$m (Figure 5b). SEM/EDS data revealed these particles were enriched with nitrogen, often sulfur, and occasionally aluminum (Figure 4de). The higher resolution FESEM revealed a similar substance, apparently coalesced into a liquid-like form across some of the PTFE filter fibers (Figure 5c). The lower resolution of the SEM/EDS technique could not clearly image these coalesced inter-fiber areas, but did confirm small EDS nitrogen peaks in the apparent deposit regions. This collection of morphologies and chemical elements is consistent with hygroscopic, nitrogen-rich salts such as ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate, and possibly aluminum sulfate. XRF of filters A4, A5, A8, and A9 confirmed the dominance of sulfur (Figure 4f); nitrogen is not detectable with this XRF method. Filter A9 additionally exhibited particles with a needle morphology and stronger sulfur peaks, as well as less prevalent combustion PM with soot morphology (Figure 5d).

Filter A7 exhibited very few particles in the SEM (Figure 5e). The low particle loading on filter A7 revealed mostly PTFE background structures, comparable to the PM$_{2.5}$ filter blank (Figure 5f).

**Raman Micro-spectroscopy**
Initial RMS analyses of filters A2 and B2 using the 532 nm laser indicated the presence of carbon. However, an overwhelming fluorescence response to the 532 nm laser made analyses challenging. Subsequent analyses with the 785 nm laser yielded improved RMS data, and showed that A2 consistently exhibited the presence of crustal minerals such as mica, hematite, aluminosilicates and titania (Figure 6a). Quartz has a distinct RMS profile that was not observed in any of the analyzed particles. Filter B2 exhibited a higher proportion of dark colored particles with carbonaceous spectra (Figure 6b), and a lower proportion of mineral particles.

DISCUSSION

Filters from May 2013

Together, the observations of particles on filter A2 using optical microscopy, SEM, and XRF suggest that this filter was very heavily loaded with wind-blown dust, with a substantially greater proportion of 2.5 - 5 μm (physical diameter) PM, compared to filters from other dates or nearby locations. The exact proportions could not be quantified by automated image analysis, due to the overlapping particles in A2 and background filter structures in the others. However, the approximate proportion of 2.5 - 5 μm PM in A2 by visual estimation is on the order of 50% of the total. This is approximately 10 times higher than the roughly 5% proportion of 2.5 - 5 μm PM observed on Location A filters from other days in May 2013 or at nearby Location B. Because particle mass depends on particle diameter cubed, particles even slightly larger than the nominal cut point can have a substantial impact on PM$_{2.5}$. 
Public wind data supports the hypothesis of an unusual source of large, windblown soil particles at Location A on May 5, 2013. Wind data from May 5 reveal high regional winds above 8 m/s, and speeds at Location A were even higher, peaking at over 16 m/s. The high winds on May 5 came predominantly from the SSE, which contributed 86% of hourly winds in the highest wind category (3.6 – 8.9 m/s) and 54% of all winds (Figure 7a). In contrast, the highest winds on the previous day were <5 m/s and exclusively from the NW (100%), as were the majority of all winds that day (25%) (Figure 7b). For Jan – Oct 2013 in general, SSE winds were uncommon (5% in the highest speed category and 2% overall), and most were again from the NW (36% in the highest speed category and 24% overall) (Figure 7c). Thus, winds at on May 5 were from an unusual direction, and extremely high.

Corresponding to this regional shift to strong SSE winds, the one-hour BAM PM$_{2.5}$ at Location B rose only slightly, and no filters at Location B recorded PM$_{2.5}$ levels comparable to those measured at Location A (Figure 8a). This disparity between sites may have been caused by local PM sources specific to Location A in the presence of unusual regional winds. Publicly available satellite maps show unpaved areas immediately SSE of the Location A FRM sampler, including sections adjacent to an aircraft runway and a vehicle parking lot. The ground cover GIS map in Figure 7d shows the local region <1 mile SSE of the Location A monitor is classified as “cropland,” including exposed dirt. Location B, in contrast, is surrounded by urban areas classified as “developed”. The local surroundings of Location A thus have the potential to generate dusts which could be raised aloft by high winds. In addition, the near-ground level inlet height of the sampler at Location A could increase the potential impact of local dust intrusion. No soil samples were available from the studied time period to confirm this hypothesis further.
FRM PM$_{2.5}$ sampler performance or calibration issues could potentially enhance collection of large particles. Even when operated properly, the S-shaped cut-point curves of the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ inlets are designed to admit a small but non-zero fraction of particles larger than their nominal cut-points (Wagner and Casuccio, 2014). The PM$_{2.5}$ VSCC modeling calculations show that particles slightly greater than the nominal cutpoint will penetrate to the filter to some degree; particles with an aerodynamic diameter of 4 µm would have 1% penetration to the filter and 3 µm aerodynamic diameter particles would have 14% penetration (Figure 9a). As such, the predominance of 4-5 µm particles (= aerodynamic sizes as small as 3-4 µm) observed on filter A2 do not necessarily imply an incorrectly operating VSCC if there were substantial amounts of them aloft that day. The two tested wind speed cases would require very different masses of total particles aloft to generate the measured PM$_{2.5}$ of 167 µg/m$^3$ on May 5. The corresponding PM$_{10}$ concentration for the $u^* = 66$ cm/s windblown dust distribution would be 660 µg/m$^3$, while the $u^* = 52$ cm/s case corresponds to PM$_{10} = 5.2$ mg/m$^3$. Although the latter represents a very high PM concentration, it more closely matches the observed, higher proportion of 2.5 - 5 µm particles (Figure 9b). No data could be found for other windblown dust events levels in this location, but international studies of windblown dusts have recorded episodic PM$_{10}$ levels of the same order of magnitude, 1-40 mg/m$^3$ (Baddock et al, 2014; Shao et al, 2016).

Another potential source of large crustal particles is re-entrainment from deposits collected inside the VSCC, e.g. from the grit pot downstream of the cyclone. On high wind days with unusually large particles, the large particles could impinge onto the deposits and liberate previously collected particles larger than 2.5 µm into the air flow and onto the filter. This mechanism would enhance the number of large PM that deposit on the filter, and would thus require lower levels of windblown dust than discussed in the previous paragraph to generate the observed high loading on May 5. The rare 5-25 µm particles observed on all of the May 2013
PM$_{2.5}$ filters are consistent with the hypothesis that re-entrainment may be occurring to some extent, even in less severe conditions, since those particles are too large to have passed directly through a properly operating VSCC. In this case, increased frequency of VSCC cleaning may reduce future re-entrainment issues.

**Filters from Wintertime Periods 2013-2015**

In contrast to filter A2, the five 2013-15 wintertime filters were dominated by nitrogen and sulfur salts, consistent with other studies of wintertime PM in rural California. Ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate are common atmospheric reaction products and significant contributors to PM$_{2.5}$ in wintertime California air (Chow et al, 2006). Both are also used in fertilizer products and the construction industry. As described in the inlet modeling discussion, it is improbable that particles as large as 150 μm would penetrate through a normally operating FRM PM$_{2.5}$ sampler. Given the observed coalesced and crystallized morphologies, it is more likely that these salt particles were collected as much smaller particles. When exposed to high humidity, these salts could deliquesce and combine into larger salt droplets on the filter, and later dry as large crystals. The fact that single deposits were centered on each spot of the flow deposition patterns of the filters (Figure 1d) is consistent with this hypothesis.

2013-2015 wintertime PM$_{2.5}$ data from Location A and Location B (Figure 8ab) show that PM$_{2.5}$ levels were elevated at both locations during all five of these periods. Thus, unlike the high PM$_{2.5}$ in May 2013 (filter A2), which appears to have been a local phenomenon, the nitrogen rich salt loadings observed on these wintertime 2013-2015 filters apparently correspond to regional PM events.
Because the November 1 filter did not possess a heavy particle loading, it is possible that this high PM$_{2.5}$ value is due to a problem with the recorded filter pre-weight or ID, or sampler flow or duration. The operator notes for this sample observed a power outage and “elapsed sample time out of spec.”

**CONCLUSIONS**

Forensic particle analyses including optical and electron microscopy, X-ray fluorescence, and Raman micro-spectroscopy were combined with publicly available data analyses and windblown dust model calculations to determine three different sources of high PM$_{2.5}$ filter measurements in rural California. This work presents an analysis approach that can be used to determine likely particle sources when only limited, archived samples are available.

One heavily loaded PM$_{2.5}$ filter from Location A in May 2013 likely was dominated by wind-blown dust from an unusual direction (SSE), with a substantially greater proportion of PM between 2.5 and 5 µm (physical diameter; their corresponding aerodynamic diameters may be as small as 2-4 µm), compared to five other filters from adjacent locations and dates. The observed particle sizes are consistent with modeling predictions for a normally operating PM$_{2.5}$ inlet challenged with a high concentration of large particles, potentially enhanced by re-entrainment of particles from collected deposits within the VSCC inlet. Dust control measures in the local area to the SSE and more frequent VSCC inlet cleanings may help reduce these issues.
In contrast, five other high-PM$_{2.5}$ filters from wintertime 2013-2015 were likely caused by hygroscopic nitrogen- and sulfur-rich salts, consistent with ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate formed in the atmosphere. Unlike the high-PM$_{2.5}$ May 2013 filter, which appears to have been a local phenomenon, the heavy nitrogen rich salt loadings observed on these five 2013-2015 filters are consistent with regional PM events.

Finally, the November 2014 filter exhibited a low loading of particles, so this high PM$_{2.5}$ value may correspond to an erroneous filter ID, weight, sampler flow, or duration measurement.

The Teflon filters analyzed in this study prevented automated, quantitative SEM/EDS due to their obtrusive, fibrous backgrounds. Future deployments of inexpensive, passive PM samplers with smooth substrates optimized for microscopy would enable more detailed investigations (Wagner et al, 2012). In addition, future measurements of windblown dust size distributions $>10 \mu$m in this region would enable more realistic input into the combined FRM PM$_{2.5}$ inlet model to more accurately predict increased PM$_{2.5}$ on windy days.
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