

1 **Effects of Temperature on Electrostatic Precipitator of Fine Particles** 2 **and SO₃**

3 Haibao Zhao ^{1,*}, Yuzhong He ¹, Jiadong Shen ²

4 1. Zhejiang Feida environmental science & technology CO.,LTD, Zhuji, China.

5 *E-mail: zhaohaibaozj@163.com*

6 2. Tongji Zhejiang College, Jiaxing, China

7 **Abstract:** The fine particles and SO₃ at the outlet of electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) are
8 measured when the temperature of the flue gas decreases to 90 °C in a pilot-scale platform with
9 50,000 m³ h⁻¹ real flue gas. Based on the test results and scanning electron microscopes (SEMs)
10 of particulate matter, the mechanism of fine particles change and SO₃ removal is proposed. The
11 results show that the removal efficiency of SO₃ achieves 73 %, and the penetration
12 concentration of PM₁ decreases from 0.5 to 0.17 mg m⁻³ when the gas temperature decreases
13 from 120–130 to 90–95 °C in a coal-fired power plant.

14 **Keywords:** Coal-fired power plant; dust emission; electrostatic precipitator; SO₃; PM

15 *Corresponding author. E-mail: zhaohaibaozj@163.com (Haibao Zhao)

16 **Introduction**

17 As air pollution has been a serious problem, the ultra-low emission in China requires
18 that the particles emissions must be less than 10 mg m⁻³ (Preston *et al.*, 2013; China *et*
19 *al.*, 2015; Saeki *et al.*, 2003; Nicol *et al.*, 2013; Ando *et al.*, 2011).

20 The collection efficiency of electrostatic precipitator (ESP) is over 99.9 %. However,
21 the difficulty of charging is one of the major limiting factors for particles collection of
22 ESP (Xu *et al.*, 2015; Kato *et al.*, 1994; Roudier *et al.*, 2013; Yi *et al.*, 2006; Afshar-
23 Mohajer *et al.*, 2014), and the mass concentration at the outlet of ESP was about 50 mg
24 m⁻³ with high penetration efficiency of dust particles in the size range of 0.1–1 μm
25 (Misaka *et al.*, 2009; Tamaru *et al.*, 1998). Qianyun *et al.* had enhanced particles

26 agglomeration by using a pre-charger to increase mean particle size (Qianyun *et al.*,
27 2015) but without reducing the dust resistivity and taken more power.


28 There are some ESPs operated at different gas temperatures in coal-fired power
29 plants (Jianguo *et al.*, 2017; 2002; Xia *et al.*, 2011), Hitachi Plant Technologies
30 developed a gas treatment system with a low low temperature electrostatic precipitator
31 (LLT-ESP), the moving electrode type ESP with gas temperature of 90°C was described
32 (Toshiaki Misaka *et al.*, 2008). In 2016, the industrial standard of LLT-ESP was
33 implemented in China (JB/T 12591-2016), but there is few study focused on its
34 mechanism. Thus, this paper will describe the major performances of LLT-ESP and the
35 changes to ESP at different gas temperatures by tests.

36 **1. Test**

37 ***1.1 Testing Apparatus***

38 (1) Pilot-scale platform

39 The pilot-scale platform (Fig.1) was built beside a real coal-fired power plant. The
40 flue gas of the pilot-scale platform was pumped from a 300 MW heat-supply unit in
41 Heibei plant after the economizer, thus ensured that the characters of flue gas in the
42 pilot-scale platform. The flue gas volume is 50,000 m³ h⁻¹ in 350 °C while 32,221 m³ h⁻¹
43 in 90 °C.




44

45 GGH means gas-gas heater, SCR means selective catalytic reduction.

46 Fig.1. System diagram of pilot-scale platform

47 The main parameters of LLT-ESP in the pilot-scale platform is shown in Table 1,
 48 which includes of the main components of coal and ash, the inlet flue gas parameters
 49 during the texts. The LLT-ESP pilot-plan is shown in Fig.2.



50

51

52 Fig. 2. Sketch of LLT-ESP pilot-plan (a). Schematic diagram and (b). Reality images

53 (2) Test ESPs in 300–1000 MW coal-fired power plants

54 The three ESPs were set in Changxing coal-fired plant (Power plant name, the unit
 55 capacity is 660 MW), Shangan coal-fired plant (the unit capacity is 660 MW) and
 56 Ninghai coal-fired power plant (the unit capacity is 1000 MW) for in-depth study of the

57 performances of LLT-ESP in large units. The main parameters of ESPs and the entrance
 58 flue gas parameters are shown in Table 1.

59 **Table 1.** Main parameters of LLT-ESPs and flue gas parameters during followed tests

Item	name	LLT-ESP pilot-plan platform	LLT-ESP in Changxing plant	LLT-ESP in Ninghai plant	LLT-ESP in Shangan plant
Main Parameters of ESPs	Design Dust Collection Efficiency /%	≥99.87	≥99.782	≥99.93	≥99.93
	Guaranteed Particle Emission/mg • m ⁻³	≤20	≤20	≤15	≤20
	Number of ESP per boiler, electric field	1, 4+1	2, 5	2, 4	2, 4+1
	SCA of LLT-ESP / m ² •(m ³ •s ⁻¹) ⁻¹	124.52	162.1	81.01	85.33+16.33
	power supply	high-frequency	high-frequency	high-frequency+ pulsed	high-frequency
Entrance Flue Gas Parameters	Gas Flow Rate/ m ³ • h ⁻¹	32221	2742800	8243956	2091580
	Inlet Dust Loading /g • m ⁻³	15.735	11.55	11.735	28.589
	Gas Temperature/°C	90	88.25	90	95
	D/S	605	195	323	261
	Moisture	16.20	21.1	14.00	8.1
	Received Base Ash	12.80	6.60	7.04	23.81
Main Components of Coal /%	The Yankees Coal Ash Dry Without Volatile Matter Content	37.05	8.82	33.19	15.84
	Carbon	56.32	58.00	63.25	62.39
	Hydrogen	3.40	2.99	3.40	2.97
	Oxygen	10.03	10.13	11.18	2.61
	Nitrogen	0.77	0.61	0.64	0.96
Main Ash Characteristics/%	Sulfur	0.49	0.57	0.50	1.32
	SiO ₂	41.32	42.98	26.31	55.66
	Al ₂ O	32.09	27.92	12.66	29.55
	Na ₂ O	5.14	2.98	0.43	0.45

60 **1.2. Experiment devices and methods**

61 In this paper, to test the dust concentrations, SO₃ concentrations and dust practical
 62 resistivity of LLT-ESP, the following test data got by the equipment and method are
 63 shown in Table 2.

64 **Table 2.** The measurement equipment and method (ISO 12141, 2002; GB/T 21508,
 65 2008; JB/T 12591, 2016; GB/T 16913, 2008)


Items	Measurement Equipment	Instrument Types	Reference Standards Or Methods
Dust Concentrations	Flue Dust Sampling Instrument, Flue Gas Sampling Gun	<i>Raoying</i> 3012H, 1085B, 1085D	GB/T 16157-1996 GB 13931-2002 ISO 12141-2002
	SO ₃ Concentrations	Ultraviolet Spectrophotometer, Flue Gas Sampling Gun	<i>Hach</i> DR5000, ZR-D03A GBT 21508-2008 DL/T 986-2005
Dust Practical Resistivity	Dust Practical Resistivity Tester	TH2681A	GB/T 16913-2008

66

67 The measurement of dust concentration was on the basis of ISO 12141-2002. The
 68 sampling points were set at the inlet and outlet of ESP. The PM was sampled by a

69 normal sample tube, a glass fiber cartridge at the inlet of ESP and by a low
70 concentration sample tube, quartz membranes at the outlet of ESP, respectively.
71 Especially, the gas was sampled with a heating sample tube by isokinetic sampling. The
72 fiber cartridges or membranes were weighed before and after by an electronic balance in
73 tens of millions.

74 The measurement method of SO_3 was on the basis of GBT 21508-2008 as shown in
75 Fig.3. The gaseous SO_3 would become mist SO_3 when the flue gas temperature was
76 reduced under acid dew point by the 60–65 °C temperature-controlled water bat, and be
77 collected by the snake-like glass tube, depended on the inertial forces. During the test,
78 the constant temperature should not be lower than 60 °C to prevent SO_2 from being
79 condensed. The heating sample tube was set with a quartz filter or a glass fiber to filter
80 the particulate matter and the heating temperature is not lower than 260 °C. The content
81 of SO_4^{2-} was tested by titration method with an ultraviolet spectrophotometer.



82


83 **Fig.3.** The measurement method of SO_3

84 **2. Results and discussion**

85 **2.1 Dust removal efficiency with different diameters**

86 The result of the tests done on the LLT-ESP pilot-plan is shown in Fig.4. It showed
87 that the dust concentration via gas temperature and diameter. The sizes of dust particles
88 between GGH and LLT-ESP were growing from 130 to 90 °C and the particles ratio of
89 $\leq 1\mu\text{m}$, $\leq 2.5\mu\text{m}$, $\leq 10\mu\text{m}$ decreased by 65%, 50% and 35% respectively. In general, the


90 dusts whose size was in a range of 0.1–1 μm were difficult to remove (Zhao *et al.*,
 91 2018). When the temperature reduced, the penetration concentrations of PM_{10} decreased
 92 from 0.5 to 0.17 mg m^{-3} . As the penetration concentration of PM_{10} decreases about 65%
 93 when the temperature of flue gas decreases to 90 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ according to the tests, about 60%
 94 of PM_{10} has grown up to big particle which was easy to collect by ESP.



95
96

97 **Fig.4.** Dust concentration via gas temperature and diameter (a) Sizes distribution of PM
 98 at the inlet of ESP and (b) dust removing efficiency of different diameters

99 As the same trend, the tests of LLT-ESP in Changxing plant (600MW) showed that
 100 the penetration efficiency of PM_{10} decreased 65 % as the gas temperature decreased from
 101 120 to 90 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ as shown in Fig.5. And the total particles concentration (TPS) were less
 102 than 11.5 mg m^{-3} at the outlet of LLT-ESP, contrasted with 19 mg m^{-3} at the outlet of
 103 normal ESP , accorded by the test of Shangan plant.




104
105

106 **Fig.5.** Particulate removal performance vs. gas temperature (a) Changxing plant (600
 107 MW) and (b) Shangan plant (300 MW)

108 The LLT-ESP which reduced the gas temperature to near 95 °C made a good effect
 109 on the performance of ESP and effectively solved the problem of low removal
 110 efficiency of fine particles. Moreover, the WFGD would show an apparent increase of
 111 dust removal efficiency as the particles diameters increased at the inlet of WFGD when
 112 took a LLT-ESP (Ondov *et al.*, 1980).

113 2.2 SO₃ removal efficiency

114 The tests done on the LLT-ESP pilot-plan showed that the removal efficiency of SO₃
 115 was 22.84 %, 96.15 % and 96.61 % when the gas temperature was 120 °C, 90 °C and
 116 80 °C, respectively. Furthermore, The tests done on the LLT-ESP in Changxing plant
 117 showed that the removal efficiency of SO₃ achieved 73 % by GGH and LLT-ESP, and
 118 the SO₃ concentration was less than 6 mg m⁻³, as shown in Fig.6, while the penetration
 119 concentration of PM decreased from 28 to 18 mg m⁻³. SO₃ removal efficiency is
 120 increased with LLT-ESP.




121
 122 **Fig.6.** SO₃ removal performance vs. gas temperature

123 **2.3 Mechanism analysis of fine particles change and SO₃ removal**

124 To analyse the mechanism of fine particles change and SO₃ removal, Membranes was
125 set at the inlet of ESP in the pilot-scale platform, and particulate matter was observed by
126 scanning electron microscope (SEM) as shown in Fig.7.

127 Contrast of 120 °C, the particulate matters in the gas temperature of 90 °C got
128 together, and the fine particulate adhered to coarse particulate.



129

130

(a)

(b)

131 **Fig.7.** Contrast of particulate matter with flue gas temperature of 90 °C and 120 °C (a)

132 Particles morphology in 90 °C (b) Particles morphology in 120 °C

133 As the flue gas before ESP includes relatively much PM than SO₃, the gas SO₃
134 condensed into SO₃ mist and was condensed on PM when the flue gas temperature was
135 reduced below acid dew point. According the analysis of those SEM images, the rule of
136 condensation, adsorption, the model of fine particles change and SO₃ removal was put
137 forward as shown in Fig.8, which supports the text results of dust particles grow when
138 the flue gas decreases to 90 °C in Fig 4. At the same time, the SO₃ would be collected
139 together with PM and solved the high penetration rate of SO₃ of normal ESP in 120-
140 130°C.




Fig.8. Model of fine particles change and SO₃ removal (a) Model (b) SEM images with gas temperature of 90 °C and 120 °C

3. Conclusions

The particulate matters got together and adhered to coarse particulate, the gas SO₃ condensed into SO₃ mist and was condensed on PM when the gas temperature in coal-fired power plant decreased from 120-130 to 90-95 °C. And the difficulty of charging when ESP was used to collect fine dust could be overcome by reducing flue gas temperature below acid dew point as most of PM₁ grow up to big particle which was easy to collect by ESP. More than 70 % of SO₃ can be removed with PM and the penetration concentration of PM₁ decreased from 0.5 to 0.17 mg m⁻³.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the financial support from National Key R&D Program of China (No.2017YFB0603202) .

References

Ando, H., Shiromaru, N. and Mochizuki, Y. (2011).Recent Technology of Moving Electrode Electrostatic Precipitator. *International Journal of Plasma Environmental Science & Technology*. 200: 130-134.

160 Afshar-Mohajer, N., Thakker, Y., Wu, C. Y., & Sorloaica-Hickman, N. (2014).
161 Influence of back electrostatic field on collection efficiency of an electrostatic lunar
162 dust collector. *Aerosol & Air Quality Research*, 14(5): 1333-1343.

163 China Association of Environmental Protection Industry. (2015). *Technology of Flue
164 Gas Ultra-low Emission for Coal-fired Power Plant*. Beijing: China Electric Power
165 Press.

166 GB/T 21508-2008. Performance test method for coal-fired flue gas desulphurization
167 equipment. (In Chinese)

168 GB/T 16913-2008. Methods of dust character test. (In Chinese)

169 ISO 12141-2002. Stationary source emissions-Determination of mass concentration of
170 particulate matter (dust) at low concentrations - Manual gravimetric method.

171 JB/T 12591-2016. Low-low temperature electrostatic precipitation. (In Chinese)

172 Jianguo, L. I., Yuzhong, H. E., Liu, H. and Zhao, H. (2017). Characteristics of low-low
173 temperature esp technology and its application in ultra-low emissions for high-ash
174 coal-fueled power plant. *Electric Power*.

175 Toshiaki Misaka, Yoshihiko, Mochizuki. (2008). Recent Application and Running
176 Cost of Moving Electrode type Electrostatic Precipitator. 11th International
177 Conference on Electrostatic Precipitation.

178 Kato, M., Tanaka, T., Nishimura, Y., Yata, K., Nakao, M. and Sakai, T., et al. (1994).
179 Method and system for handling exhaust gas in a boiler. US, US5282429.

180 Misaka, T. and Mochizuki, Y. (2009). Recent Application and Running Cost of Moving
181 Electrode type Electrostatic Precipitator. *Electrostatic Precipitation. Springer Berlin
182 Heidelberg*.

183 Nicol K. (2013) Recent developments in particulate control. *IEA Clean Coal Centre*.
184 29-30.

185 Ondov, J. M., Ragaini, R. C. and Biermann, A. H. (1980). Emissions and particle-size
186 distributions of minor and trace elements at two western coal-fired power plants
187 equipped with cold-side electrostatic precipitators. *Environmental Science &
188 Technology*, 14(12): 1534.

189 Preston, J. M., Watson, W. R. and Jones, C. B. (2013). Waste / Low Quality Heat
190 Recovery and Utilization With Low Temperature Economizers. *ASME 2013 Power
191 Conference* (pp.V001T01A015-V001T01A015).

192 Qianyun Chang, Chenghang Zheng, Xiang Gao, Penchi Chiang, Mengxiang Fang,
193 Zhongyang Luo, Kefa Cen. (2015). Systematic Approach to Optimization of

194 Submicron Particle Agglomeration Using Ionic-Wind-Assisted Pre-charge. *Aerosol*
195 *and Air Quality Research*. 15: 2709-2719.

196 Roudier, S., Sancho, L. D., Remus, R. and Aguadomsonet., M. (2013). Best
197 Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Iron and Steel Production:
198 Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU: Integrated Pollution Prevention and
199 Control. Institute for Prospective and Technological Studies, Joint Research Centre.

200 Saeki, M., Inoue, T., Tsukahara, M. and Maehata, H. (2003). Development of
201 electrostatic separator equipped with moving belt electrode. *Transactions of the*
202 *Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers C*, 69(688): 3358-3363.

203 Tamaru, T. (1998). Operation results of ihi flue gas desulfurization system for unit no. 1
204 (700 mw) of reihoku power station of kyushu electric power co. inc. *Fuel & Energy*
205 *Abstracts*, 3: 222.

206 Xu, X., Gao, X., Yan, P., Zhu, W., Zheng, C. and Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Particle
207 migration and collection in a high-temperature electrostatic precipitator. *Separation &*
208 *Purification Technology*, 143, 184-191.

209 Xia, J., Peng, P., Zhang, C., Yang, T. and Chen, G. (2011). Study and Application of
210 Two-Level Optimization of Coal Blending for Power Plant Based on On-Line Coal
211 Identification. *ASME 2011 Power Conference Collocated with Jsme Icope* (Vol.56,
212 pp.159-61).

213 Yi, H., Hao, J., Duan, L., Li, X. and Guo, X. (2006). Characteristics of inhalable
214 particulate matter concentration and size distribution from power plants in china. *Air*
215 *Repair*, 56(9): 1243-1251.

216 Zhao, H., He, Y. and Yao Y., (2018). Study on brush of moving electrode type
217 electrostatic precipitator (MEEP). *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental*
218 *Science*. 121(5): 3-5.