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Abstract
The performance (i.e., cyclone pressure drop and particle penetration curve) of small cyclones with conical contraction bodies was investigated either as the size-selective inlets of miniature/compact particle sensors/monitors or as personal particle samplers. Prototype cyclones having inner bodies with the conical contraction angles of 0°, 15° and 30° were constructed and their performance was evaluated at various operational flowrates (i.e., 1.0-7.0 lpm). The effect of vortex finder insertion length on the cyclone performance was also studied. It is found that the cyclone with a high body contraction angle is capable of collecting smaller particles than ones with low body contraction under the same cyclone pressure drop. The effect of vortex finder insertion length on the cyclone performance was found negligible. A linear relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off size and the annular flow Reynolds number, $Re_{ann}$ (in the log-log plot) could be found for studied cyclones with the cyclone characteristic velocity, calculated by the assumption of the conservation of angular momentum for swirling flow in a cyclone. Compared with those previous studies, cyclones with conical contraction bodies have the advantage on lower pressured drop (up to 50%) for the same particle cut-off size.
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Introduction

Particulate matter (PM) is identified as one of air pollutants worldwide. Epidemiologic studies have shown the adverse health effects of PM on human being (Fan, et al. 2015; Pui, et al. 2014; Potera, 2014; Samoli, et al. 2013; Evans, et al. 2013; Ma, et al. 2011). Cyclones have been widely applied to either recover or remove particulate matter (PM) in various industrial applications because of their simple design and low costs in manufacturing, operating and maintenance. Small/compact cyclones, operated at a low flow rate, are also designed as the PM samplers either for monitoring the personal exposure or as the size-selective inlet for particle sensors (Görner, et al., 2001; Lee et al, 2010). Previous researches have been conducted to investigate the performance of small cyclones in various designs. Three low-flow cyclone families for personal PM sampling have been reported in the work of Kenny and Gussman (2000). Based on the study of Kenny and Gussman (2000), Cauda et al (2014) evaluated two small cyclones with 1” body diameter and used them as pre-selector for diesel particulate matter in mine environment. Hsiao et al (2009) developed two miniature cyclones to remove particles with the sizes larger than 1.0 and 0.3 µm, respectively, at the operational flow rate of 0.3 lpm. A small quadru-inlet cyclone has also been developed to minimize the issue of directional sampling (Liu, et al., 2015). Sagot et al (2017) studied a set of small cyclones and applied them to remove the oil mist in blow-by gases from combustion engines.

A majority of reported cyclones, so called tangential-flow cyclones, have an inlet to tangentially introduce flow, a cylindrical body for flow swirling, a conical contraction (attached to the cylindrical body) for gathering all the collected particles together, and a vortex finder tube to vent the flow out (First, 1949; Kenny and Gussman, 1997; Hsiao et al., 2015). The effect of conical contraction on the performance of above cyclones (i.e., the cyclone pressure drop and particle cut-off size) has been reported in the literature. Kenny and Gussman (2000) have shown that the conical contraction part of a cyclone has an important impact on the cyclone performance. It was further concluded that the increase of the conical contraction part angle of a cyclone resulted in considerable increase in the cyclone pressure drop and reduction in the cyclone particle cutoff size (Avci and Karagoz, 2003; Xiang et al., 2001).

As the flow swirls down the cylindrical body of a tangential-flow cyclone, the angular momentum of swirling flow decreases because of the presence of cylinder wall. The angular momentum of swirling flow is then increased once the flow enters the conical contraction part of
A cyclone (because of the flow contraction). The contribution of a conical contraction part of a cyclone to the cyclone performance is thus believed being reduced with the presence of cylindrical body. It is therefore hypothesized that the maximal contribution of conical part on the cyclone performance, especially on the particle cut-off sizes, could be realized in the cases of a cyclone with conical contraction body (i.e., no cylindrical body). Indirect evidence has also been given in the work of Park et al (2015), in which a three-stage cyclone separator (having a stepped contraction body instead of a cylindrical one) was studied.

To investigate the full potential of conical contraction on the performance of a tangential-flow cyclone, especially on the cyclone cut-off size, two small cyclones with conical contraction bodies were studied in this work. For the reference, a cyclone with the cylindrical body (having the same dimensions as those of studied ones) was also included in this work. Based on the experimental data, the semi-empirical models were further proposed to calculate the pressure drop and particle cutoff size of these studied cyclones.

**Studied Cyclones and Experimental Setup**

Figure 1 (i.e., the side and top cross-sectional views, Figs 1a and 1b, respectively) shows the schematic diagram of studied cyclones. The flow is tangentially introduced into the studied cyclones. A majority of key dimensions remains the same for all studied cyclones except the contraction angle, \( \Theta \), of cyclone body is varied (i.e., 0°, 15° and 30°). At the contraction angle, \( \Theta \), of zero, the studied cyclone is the same as the extra-sharp-cut cyclone (ESCC) studied by Kenny and Gussman (2000). The above cyclone was used as the reference. The other two cyclones have the conical contraction angles of 15° and 30°. Note that the conical contraction of cyclone body started right below the cyclone inlet in the above two cyclones (i.e., no cylindrical body section). A vortex finder (as the cyclone outlet) tube was installed at the cyclone cap. The overall size of these studied cyclones is comparable to the size of a US quarter coin. Table 1 shows the key dimensions of the studied cyclones: the inlet diameter \( D_{in} \), the body diameter \( D_c \), the outlet diameter \( D_{out} \), the insertion length of the vortex finder \( S \), the cyclone total length \( H \), the cyclone body height \( h \) and the cyclone body contraction angle \( \Theta \).

To characterize the performance of above cyclones, the cyclone pressure drop and particle penetration curve at different operational flow rates were measured. For the measurement of cyclone pressure drop, the operational flow was drawn from a cyclone via a
vacuum pump and its rate was monitored by a laminar flow meter and controlled by a needle valve. Prior to the measurement, the laminar flowmeter was calibrated by the primary flow calibrator (Gilibrator-2, SENSIDYNE 800271). The pressure drop of a cyclone was measured by a differential pressure gauge (Series 2000, Magnehelic) with the high pressure end opened to the ambient.

Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the measurement of cyclone particle penetration curve. The studied cyclones were challenged by particles in a wide particle size range, i.e. 30 nm to 10 μm. Two sets of experimental setups were used for this part of evaluation: one is for sub-micrometer particle testing and the other is for super-micrometer particle testing. Particle generators and particle detectors were different in both setups.

The test chamber, installed vertically, was a cylindrical PVC pipe with 0.09 m in diameter and 1.5 m in height. A PVC cross connector was attached to the chamber top for introducing test particles from the top opening of the cross and filtered dilution flow from both side openings of the cross. The studied cyclone was placed near the bottom of the chamber. One channel of a three-way valve was connected to the cyclone and the other one was directly connected to a sampling tube for upstream particle measurement. By switching the valve channel, the particle size distributions at the upstream and downstream of the studied cyclones were measured. A vacuum pump was applied at the chamber bottom to vent the excess flow.

For the submicrometer particle testing, a custom-made Collison atomizer was used to generate polydisperse droplets containing KCl. Before being introduced into the test chamber, generated droplets were dried in a diffusion dryer with Silica gel as the desiccant and charge-minimized by a radioactive Po$^{210}$ neutralizer. The generated test particles have the mean size of 65 nm and with geometrical standard deviation $\sigma = 1.7\pm0.05)$. The scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI 3096) was used to measure the particle size distributions upstream and downstream of a studied cyclone. In the super-micrometer particle testing, the large particle generator (TSI 8108) was used to generate super-micrometer-sized KCL particles. In the large particle generator, testing particles were generated by spraying a KCl solution via a mechanical sprayhead and mixing with drying air carried with bipolar ions. An optical particle sizer (OPS, TSI 3330) was used to characterize the size distributions of super-micrometer-sized particles. The particle penetration curves of studied cyclones were obtained by taking the ratio of the particle concentration in each size bin at the cyclone downstream to that at the upstream.
Prototype cyclones were tested under five different flowrates (i.e., 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 5.0 and 7.0 l/min). A makeup flow line with a HEPA filter cartridge, a laminar flow meter, a needle valve and a vacuum pump was included in the measurement line to ensure the operational flow rate of a studied cyclone.

**Result and discussion**

1. on the Cyclone Pressure Drop

Figure 3 shows the measured pressure drop of studied cyclones with three body contraction angles (i.e., 0°, 15° and 30°) as a function of the operational flow rate. The average inlet velocity of the cyclones is also given in the same plot. For each studied cyclone, we further varied the insertion length of vortex finder tube (i.e., the ratio of S to H is 32%, 56% and 80%). It was found that the cyclone pressure drop varied with the cyclone body contraction angle. At the same operational flow rate, the cyclone with 30° body contraction angle had the highest pressure drop and one with the 0° body contraction angle had the lowest. The effect of vortex finder length on the cyclone pressure drop was found negligible for each tested cyclone under the same operational flow rate.

Similar to other small cyclones previously studied, the characteristic curves of cyclone pressure drop are in a quadratic form (as the function of inlet velocity). The loss coefficient, $K_L$, defined as the ratio of measured pressure drop to the inlet dynamic pressure ($\rho_g V_i^2/2$), were 49.61, 55.59 and 62.17 for cyclones with 0°, 15° and 30° body contraction angles, respectively. Previous studies had proposed a semi-empirical model to predict the dimensionless loss coefficient ($K_L$) for the calculation of cyclone pressure drop. None of these models is for a small cyclone having a conical contraction body. Hsiao et al (2009) successfully applied the Dirgo model (1988) to calculate the value of $K_L$ for small cyclones with the cylindrical body. In this study, the Dirgo model again gave a reasonable prediction for the cyclone with the zero body contraction angle. However, the Dirgo model cannot be applied to the cases of cyclones with the body contraction angles of 15° and 30°, since they don’t have the cylindrical part. A new semi-empirical model (based on the Dirgo’s model) was thus proposed herein to calculate the value of $K_L$ for small cyclones with conical contraction bodies. Instead of $h$, the difference between $H$ and $h$ (i.e., $H-h$) was used to avoid the mathematical singularity in Dirgo model. The new power index and
coefficient were found by fitting the proposed equation to the experimental data. The working
equations for the Dirgo and newly proposed models are shown in the following:

1) Dirgo model

\[ K_L = 19.7 \times \left( \frac{ab}{D_{out}} \right)^{0.99} \times \left( \frac{S}{D_c} \right)^{0.35} \times \left( \frac{H}{D_c} \right)^{-0.34} \times \left( \frac{h}{D_c} \right)^{-0.35} \times \left( \frac{B}{D_c} \right)^{-0.33} \] (1)

2) Proposed model

\[ K_L = 17.81 \times \left( \frac{ab}{D_{out}} \right)^{0.99} \times \left( \frac{S}{D_c} \right)^{0.35} \times \left( \frac{H}{D_c} \right)^{-0.33} \times \left( \frac{H-h}{D_c} \right)^{-0.06} \times \left( \frac{B}{D_c} \right)^{-0.095} \] (2)

where B is the diameter of cone bottom, which can be calculated from h, \( \theta \), and \( D_c \) (i.e.,
\( B = D_c - 2 \times h \times \tan \theta \)). As shown in Figure 4, the \( K_L \) values of the prototype cyclones can be
reasonably estimated by the new proposed model. Note that the newly proposed model was also
applicable to the cases of small cyclones studied by Hsiao et al (2009) and quadru-inlet cyclones

2. On the Particle Penetration Curves

Figure 5 shows the particle penetration curves as the function of aerodynamic particle
size for three studied cyclones operated at the flow rate of 3.0 liter/min (lpm). Under the same
operational flow rate, the particle cut-off sizes (defined as the particle size at the 50% penetration
efficiency) of tested cyclones decreased with the increase of body contraction angles. The above
observation further evidenced that the angular velocity of cyclone flow was either remained the
same or possibly increased as the flow swirled down the contraction body of a cyclone. The
larger contract angle the stronger the swirl of the flow, resulting in the smaller particle cut-off
size.

Figure 6a gives the particle penetration curves as a function of dimensionless particle size
for the cyclone with the 30° body contraction, operated at different flow rates (i.e., 1.0, 2.0, 3.0,
5.0 and 7.0 lpm). The dimensionless particle cut-off size is defined as \( C^{0.5}D_p/D_c \), where C is the
Cunningham correction factor; and \( D_p \) is the particle size. As the operational flow rate increased,
the particle cut-off size of the studied cyclone decreased. For reference, the measured particle
cut-off sizes for three studied cyclones at different operational flow rates are given in Table 2.
The steepness of measured particle penetration curves for all these three cyclones under various annual Reynolds number $Re_{ann}$ are shown in Figure 6b. Because of the conical contraction body of studied cyclones, we defined the annular flow Reynolds number of a cyclone as $Re_{ann} = \frac{\rho \cdot V \cdot (D_c - D_{out})}{\mu}$, where $\rho$ and $\mu$ are the density and viscosity of carrier gas, respectively; and $V$ is the characteristic velocity of tangential flow at the half height of studied cyclone body, which is estimated as $V = \frac{V_in \cdot R_c}{R_{0.5h}}$ (where $R_c$ is the cyclone body radius, $V_{in}$ is the inlet velocity and $R_{0.5h}$ is the radius at half height of a cyclone). The steepness of the penetration curves was defined as the square root of the ratio of particle size at the 70% penetration efficiency to that at the 30% efficiency. The data of curve steepness for mini-cyclone (Hsiao et al, 2009) and quadru-inlet cyclone (Liu et al, 2015) are also included in Fig. 6b. It is found that the steepness of particle penetration curves for three studied cyclones first increased as the cyclone Reynolds number increased, decreased as the number exceeding 2,000, and then reached constant as the number exceeded 4,000. The above observation is possible because the effect of eddy motion in turbulent flow (in high Reynolds number regime) of a cyclone partially reduces the particle collection by the flow swirling. In general, the cyclones with the 15° and 30° body contraction angles offer slightly steeper cut-off curves as compared to the cyclones with a cylindrical body.

The effect of insertion length of the vortex finder tube on the performance of studied cyclones was also investigated (S) while keeping the constant operational flow rate. Figure 7 shows the measured particle penetration curves as a function of dimensionless particle size for the cyclone with the 30° body contraction angle and the vortex finder length of 0.2, 0.35 and 0.5 inches (i.e., the S/H ratios of 32%, 56% and 80%, respectively), when operated at the flow rate of 2.0 lpm. Negligible effect on the studied cyclone performance was found for the vortex finder insertion length. A similar observation was also found for the other two cyclones under different operational flow rates. The above result was consistent with the cyclone pressure drop shown in Fig. 3.

3. General Correlation

The linear relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off size and the annular flow Reynolds number in the log-log plot (i.e., $\log \left( \frac{c_{0.5}D_{p,50}}{D_c} \right) = a + b \cdot \log Re_{ann}$) has been reported in the work of Moore and McFarland (1990). Figure 8 shows the dimensionless particle
cut-off size \( (c^{0.5}D_{p,50}/D_c) \) as a function of the annular flow Reynolds number \( (Re_{ann}) \). The dimensionless particle cut-off size is defined as \( c^{0.5}D_{p,50}/D_c \), where \( D_{p,50} \) is the particle cut-off size at the 50% particle penetration efficiency. Under the proposed definition of \( Re_{ann} \), the linear relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off size and \( Re_{ann} \) was again observed in the log-log plot for studied cyclones. It is also evidenced that, as the annular flow Reynolds number increased, the dimensionless particle cut-off size decreased. A linear regression of the above data for studied cyclones was also given in Fig. 8. The slope and the interception constant of the linear equation are -1.5953 and 1.4878, respectively. The given regression equation can be applied for the future design of small cyclones with conical contraction body. The data and associated regression for mini-cyclones and quadru-inlet cyclone were also included in Fig. 8. In comparison, the highest slope of linear regression was observed in the cases of cyclones with conical contraction body and the lowest slope of linear regression occurred in the cases of mini-cyclones. The above observation indicates that, at the same \( Re_{ann} \), studied cyclones would have the smallest dimensionless cut-off sizes among all compared cyclones. Further analysis shows that the ratio of cyclone body height (H) to cyclone flow inlet diameter (i.e., \( D_m \)) has significant correlation with the slope of linear regression (i.e., the lower the ratio, the steeper the slope). The low \( D_m/H \) ratio, which implies a low number of flow swirling turns in a cyclone, resulted in less frictional loss on the angular momentum of swirling flow. The other possible reason for the above observation might be due to the fact that it is easier to achieve the flow injection perfectly tangential to the cyclone body wall in studied cyclones than that in the other cyclones. It is because of much smaller flow opening designed in the mini- and quadru-inlet cyclones (when compared with that of studied cyclones).

The correlation between the dimensionless particle cut-off sizes and the pressure drop of studied cyclones is also given in Figure 9. For the comparison, we also included the data for mini-cyclones and quadru-inlet cyclones in the above figure. The “one-to-one” relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off sizes and the pressure drop was obtained for each cyclone. In general, the dimensionless particle cut-off size of a cyclone decreased as the cyclone pressure drop increased. For studied cyclones, a rapid decrease in the particle cut-off size was observed as the cyclone pressure drop increased and less than 10 inH\(_2\)O (at which the operational flowrate was less than 3.0 lpm). The decrease in the cut-off size became gradual as the cyclone pressure drop further increased. To gain the maximal reward in the cut-off size reduction per unit
pressure-drop increase, studied cyclones should be operated at a flow rate less than 3.0 lpm. It is because the power consumption required for cyclone operation is directly proportional to the cyclone pressure drop. More, for the same dimensionless particle cut-off size, the studied cyclone with large body contraction has lower pressure drop than the studied cyclone with cylindrical body. The maximal difference on the cyclone pressure drop could be up to 50%.

Conclusion

The performance (i.e., cyclone pressure drop and particle penetration curve) of small cyclones with conical contraction bodies (15° and 30°) were investigated in this study. As the reference, a cyclone with the cylindrical body (in the same dimensions) was also included in this study. The performance evaluation was performed at different operational flow rates. In addition, the effect of vortex finder insertion length was also investigated.

According to the experiment, the cyclone pressure drop for all three studied cyclones increased (in the form of polynomial of the 2nd order) as the cyclone inlet velocity increased. The loss coefficient, $K_L$, for studied cyclones was obtained. Our study found that the conical contraction of cyclone body had obvious effect on the cyclone pressure drop. The larger the body contraction the higher the cyclone pressure drop. However, the effect of vortex finder insertion length on the cyclone pressure drop is negligible at the same operational flow rate. An empirical model was also proposed to calculate the pressure drop of studied cyclones.

At the same operational flow rate, the cyclone with larger body contraction (i.e., 30°) offers a smaller particle cut-off size when compared with small cyclones with less body contraction (i.e., 0° and 15°). For each studied cyclone, the increase of operational flow rate resulted in the decrease of the particle cut-off size (due to the increase of centrifugal force). Minor effect of vortex finder insertion length on the cyclone particle cut-off size was also found. Using the revised characteristic velocity, a linear relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off size and the annular flow Reynolds number was observed in the log-log plot for all studied cyclones. The general correlation could be applied in the future design of small cyclones with conical contraction body. The relationship between the dimensionless particle cut-off sizes and the pressure drop of the studied cyclones was also given. Under the same cyclone pressure drop, the cyclone with a larger body contraction angle removes smaller particles as compared to cyclones with a low body contraction.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Contraction Angle $\Theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Body Diameter $D_c$ (inch)</th>
<th>Inlet Diameter $D_{in}$ (inch)</th>
<th>Outer Diameter $D_{out}$ (inch)</th>
<th>Vortex Finder Insertion Length $S$ (inch)</th>
<th>Contraction Body Height $h$ (inch)</th>
<th>Body Length $H$ (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0, 15 or 30</td>
<td>0.635*</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.2, 0.35 or 0.5*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: the ratio of $D_c$ to $H$ is around 1; the ratio of $S$ to $H$ for the three vortex finder insertion length are 32%, 56% and 80%, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q [lpm]</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{p,50}$ [um]</td>
<td>(\Theta = 0^\circ)</td>
<td>5.486</td>
<td>3.427</td>
<td>2.602</td>
<td>1.437</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Theta = 15^\circ)</td>
<td>5.470</td>
<td>3.555</td>
<td>2.263</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Theta = 30^\circ)</td>
<td>5.203</td>
<td>2.842</td>
<td>1.740</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

- $D_{in}$: inlet diameter
- $D_{out}$: body diameter
- $S$: vortex finder insertion length
- $L$: cyclone total length
- $h$: cyclone body height
- $\phi$: cyclone body contraction angle
- $R_{0.5}$: radius at half height
- $h_{0.5}$: half contraction body height

(a)

(b)
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Note: Format of legend: body contraction angle, the ratio of vortex finder insertion length (S) to cyclone body height (H)
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Figure 6

(a) Penetration [%] vs. Dimensionless Particle Size, $\sqrt{C \cdot D_p / D_c}$

(b) Steepness vs. Annual Reynolds Number, $Re_{ann}$

- Graphs showing data for different flow rates (1.0 lpm, 2.0 lpm, 3.0 lpm, 5.0 lpm, 7.0 lpm) and angles (0°, 15°, 30°)
- Mini-cyclones and Quadri-inlet cyclone data points are also included
Figure 7

Penetration [%] vs. Dimensionless Particle Size, $\sqrt{C \cdot D_p/D_c}$ for different S/H ratios: S/H=32%, S/H=56%, S/H=80%.
Figure 8

Dimensionless Cutoff Size, \( \frac{\sqrt{C \cdot D_p \cdot \alpha}}{D_c} \)

- log \( y = -1.0222 \log x - 0.0177 \)
- log \( y = -0.6054 \log x - 1.4782 \)
- log \( y = -1.5953 \log x + 1.4878 \)

Annual Reynolds Number, \( \text{Re}_{\text{ann}} \)
Figure 9