Supporting Information for "A Biomass Combustion Chamber: Design, Evaluation, and a Case Study of Wheat Straw Combustion Emission Tests"

Jie Tian^{1,2,3}, Judith C. Chow^{1,4}, Junji Cao^{1,5*}, Yongming Han^{1,2*}, Haiyan Ni^{1,3}, L.-W. Antony Chen^{1,4}, Xiaoliang Wang^{1,4}, Rujin Huang¹, Hans Moosmüller⁴, John G. Watson^{1,4}

 ¹ Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics (KLACP), Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China
 ² State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG), Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China
 ³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
 ⁴ Division of Atmospheric Sciences, Desert Research Institute, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, Nevada 89512, USA

⁵ Institute of Global Environmental Change, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

This file is 19 pages in total, including Tables S-1 and S-2.

E-mail addresses: cao@loess.llqg.ac.cn; yongming@ieecas.cn

^{*}Corresponding authors. Tel.: +86-29-62336205; Fax: +86-29-62336234;

Table S-1. Summary of combustion chambers with their locations, specifications, basic parameters measured, and references to their use.

Location & Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
¹ Air Pollution Research Center of the University of California at Riverside, California, U.S. (Burning tower). A duct (made of tempered aluminum) in the form of an inverted funnel was placed over a burning platform (8 ft in diameter) positioned on a balance. An anemometer and a thermocouple was equipped in the exit stack to continuously measure air flow and temperature, respectively. Measurements were taken at ~731 cm from the burning source.	Range bursh (both dry and green), barley and rice stubble, and prunings from vaious fruit and nut trees in California. 25lb range bursh, 15lb barley, 15lb rice stubble, and 25lb prunings were burned for each individual burning test. A given weight of plant material was placed on the burning platform. One pound of barley straw was placed under woody and green plant material to assist in starting the fire. A small amount of alcohol was thrown on the edge of the pile of waste and ignited. Burning was usually conducted in the morning hours when winds were minimum.	Continuous records of CO, CO ₂ , and total hydrocarbon (HC) concentrations were made throughout the fire. Three grab samples for individual HC (ethene, alkene, and saturates plus acetylenes) analysis were made, one each being taken near to the time of the temperature peak, the HC peak, and at the end of the fire.	The burning tower was applied to study emission of CO ₂ , CO, gaseous HC, formaldehyde, organic acids, NO _x , particulate matter (PM), and polynyclear hydrocarbons from burning of municipal refuse, landscape refuse and automobile components (did not report where the fuel came) (Gerstle and Kemnitz, 1967), PM emissions, CO, CO ₂ , total HC, NO _x emission from grass stubble and straw collected from Oregon (Boubel et al., 1969), PM, CO and hydrocarbons emitted from burning of agricultural waste collected from California (Darley, 1977).
2Department of Atmospheric Chemistry, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz, Germany. A stainless steel hood in the form of an inverted funnel was placed over a 60 x 60 cm burning table on a high-resolution balance placed on a frame which stood on the ground outside to avoid vibrations. The platform could be tilted up to 45° from horizontal to simulate different wind directions. An electrical fan at the exit duct provided during low-temperature combustion to minimize the escape of non-ducted emissions. All the major part were made of stainless steel and mounted in a mobile trailer, which also contained most of the equipment required for carrying out the experiment. An anemometer was used to measure the flow rate of stack gases. Temperature sensors were equipped to determine both stack gas and fuel temperatures. Fresh exhaust for chemical analysis was sampled from the stack (approximately 2m above the burning platform).	Tropical grasses from savanna regions and also agricultural wastes (both were dry).	CO ₂ , CO, non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) and CH ₄ as well as most important nitrogen-containing species: NO _x (NO and NO ₂), NH ₃ , some cyanogen compounds such as hydrogen cyanide (HCN) and acetonitrile (CH ₃ CN), and nitrous oxide (N ₂ O).	The burn facility also characterized N ₂ O emission from burning savanna grass, straw, hay, oak, pine needles and litter of pine forest (Hao et al., 1991); nitrogen and carbon containing compounds from burning of savanna grass, wood, hay, straw, and forest fuels (Lobert et al., 1991); HO _x -producing gases (i.e., formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methanol and acetone) from the burning of savanna grasses (Holzinger et al., 1999), major gaseous and particulate species (i.e., CO ₂ , CO, CH ₃ COOH, HCOOH, NO _x , NH ₃ , HONO, HNO ₃ , HCl, total volatile inorganic Cl and Br, SO ₂ and particulate C, N, and major ions) emission from burns of southern African biomass (Keene et al., 2006).

Table S-1, Continued

Location &Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
³ National Institute for Space Research, Brazil. São Paulo State <u>University (Universidade Estadual Paulista, UNESP), Brazil</u> Similar to the burning apparatus employed by Lobert et al. (1991, 1990), with the difference that the burning table of 1m ×1m in this study against 60 cm×60 cm in the previous studies.	Biomass samples representing Amazon forest native species (collected in the deforestation arc, near the town of Alta Floresta, state of Mato Grosso, Brazil) with moisture content ranging from 9%~11%, in terms of mass of moisture per total mass. Approximately 1.5kg of biomass was used for each experiment. A known amount of biomass loosely packed on the burning table was ignited by an LPG (liquefied petroleum gas) blowpipe. Biomass samples for each burning were composed of only one native species of the Amazonian forest. They were formed by 90% of twigs (mass percentage) with 2 cm² maximum cross-sectional area; the remaining were pieces of sticks and leaves of the same native species. Each experiment lasted 20-30min from ignition up to fuel bed cooling.	CO, CO ₂ , NO _x , and unburned hydrocarbons	
⁴ National Risk Management Research Laboratory, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 (EPA's Open Burning Test Facility (OBTF)) The facility was a ~20m³ (3.35m (L) × 2.72 m (W) × 2.21 m (H)) burn hut, with a 0.208 m³ steel burn barrel placed on a balance in the center. The barrel was sandblasted prior to use to remove any potential contaminants that would bias emission measurements. High-volume air handlers provided metered dilution air into the burn hut yielding approximately 2.46 air exchanges per minute. Additional fans were set up inside the burn hut to enhance mixing within the hut. There were thermocouples to measure temperature of sample duct, fuel, inlet air, flame, etc. Ambient air samplers were positioned inside the interior of the facility, and samples for the continuous emission monitors (CEMs) were collected from the sampling duct located on the wall with its inlet erected over the barrel.	Household waste (e.g., paper, plastic, food waste, textile/leather, wood, glass/ceramics, metal etc.). The initial mass ranged from 6.4 kg to 13.6 kg per test, and the amount actually burned varied from 46.6% to 68.1%. Before each test, the material to be combusted was placed in the barrel; air flow through the facility was initiated; the material to be combusted was then ignited for a short period (< 3min) using a propane torch. Sampling was initiated at least 2min after removal of the propane flame, terminated when the burn mass did not change over an extended period. The sampling duration for each test ranged from 62min to 91min.	O ₂ , CO ₂ , CO and total hydrocarbons (THCs), HCl, PM _{2.5} , polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDDs/PCDFs)	The U.S. EPA's Open Burning Test Facility (OBTF) yielded emissions from burning of U.S. household waste (Gullett et al., 2001; Lemieux, 1997), forest fuels (Gullett and Touati, 2003; Hays et al., 2002) and crop residues (Dhammapala et al., 2006; Dhammapala et al., 2007; Gullett et al., 2006; Hays et al., 2005; Kleeman et al., 2008).

Table S-1, Continued

Location & Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
⁵ (Simulation Fire Test (SIFT) chamber). The chamber had a dimension of 4×3×2m³ sizes and having 0.2 μm high-efficiency particulate arrester (HEPA) filter bank at the inlet side. At the other end, induced draft (ID, 1m³ s⁻¹) had been provided to evacuate the chamber before the start of each experiment. Before the ID, a pack of pre-filter had been provided. In the centre of the chamber was a pan of an electronic balance, where the biomass burned. Particulate and gaseous samples were collected from the roof of the chamber, 2m from the burning source.	Garden biomasses collected from Delhi, India, namely grass, leaves, twigs and mixtures of these three. The biomasses were air-dried. 15~100g biomass was burned per test. The chamber was completely evacuated before the start-up of the experiment to remove residual aerosol and gases of the previous experiment. Initially 2ml of methylated sprit was burnt, which was used to initiate fire of biomasses during various experiments. The combustion was generally completed in 6-10min. After homogenisation, air samples were collected or monitored. The duration of sampling is 5min.	Gaseous products such as NO_x , CO , HC and CO_2 ; particulate emission and particle size distribution	/
6Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz, Germany. A 60cm×60cm tiltable metal plate attached to a balance was placed under a static ventilation inverted funnel, which was connected to a chimney leading to a 32.6 m³ container. Two fans located at the side of the container leaded the emission continuously to the container during the experiment, where the smoke was diluted with the ambient air with an average flow rate of 3.8 m³/min. Online gaseous (e.g., CO, CO ₂ , NO) measurements were taken from a chimney above the funnel. The aerosol samples were drawn to a dilution tunnel (which was connected to particle sampling devices) with a flow rate of 11.5 L/min using a stainless steel tube (~1.5m length and 25 mm internal diameter). The residence time of the gas and particle mixture in the sampling system was kept to a maximum of 9 min to minimize particle modification in the sampling system.	Dried European conifer species, savanna grass, African hardwood, and German and Indonesian peat. All biomass fuels were weighed prior to and during the experiment. The biomass fuels were ignited using a butane burner. The biomass fuel was combusted continuously for approximately one hour to maintain fresh smoke in the container. The smoke was sampled continuously for approximately 50 to 60 min during the course of stable combustion. Then the chimney connecting the fireplace to the dilution container was switched from a bypass position to the sampling position to fill the container volume with emissions from combustion. A small piece of biomass fuel of known mass was added to the fireplace regularly in order to keep the fire from extinguishing.	water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), water-insoluble organic carbon (WISOC), OC,EC, inorganic ions, n-alkanes, n-alkenes, PAHs, oxy-PAHs, lignin decomposition products, nitrophenols, resin acids, and cellulose and hemicellulose decomposition products. CO, CO ₂ , NO	

Table S-1, Continued

Location & Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
	33 different plant species, included the chaparral		The Forest Service Fire Science Laboratory (FSL) used a large
	species chamise, manzanita, and ceanothus, and species		combustion chamber (a total volume of ~3000 m ³) with wildland
	common to the southeastern United States (common reed,		fuels to study emission factors/ratios, source profiles and organic
⁷ The Fire Sciences Laboratory (FSL) of the US	hickory, kudzu, needlegrass rush, rhododendron, cord		markers (Bertschi et al., 2003; Burling et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2007;
Forest Service in Missoula, Montana	grass, sawgrass, titi, and wax myrtle). Fuel that were too		Chen et al., 2006; Christian et al., 2003; Freeborn et al., 2008; Friedli
The main combustion chamber was a square	moist to burn were dried at 35~40 °C for 48-72h. Initial		et al., 2003; Goode et al., 1999; Griffith et al., 1991; Lee et al., 2010;
room with internal dimensions 12.4 m (L) × 12.4	fuel masses ranged from 25 g to 2500 g depending on the		Levin et al., 2010; Mazzoleni et al., 2007; McMeeking et al., 2009;
m (W) \times 19.6 m (H) and a total volume of \sim 3000	objective of the experiment and desired emission		Obrist et al., 2007; Sullivan et al., 2008; Warneke et al., 2011;
m ³ . An exhaust stack, with an inverted funnel at	concentrations; most were between 100 g and 250 g.	gas-phase CO ₂ ,	Yokelson et al., 2011; Yokelson et al., 2008; Yokelson et al., 1996);
the bottom narrowed from a 3.6 m diameter	Fuels were stacked horizontally on the fuel bed to	CO, CH ₄ , C2–4	different emission characteristics during the flaming and smoldering
opening to the 1.6 m stack diameter, located at the	facilitate ignition, except for two large fuel mass burns	hydrocarbons, NH ₃ ,	phases (Lee et al., 2010; McMeeking et al., 2009; Yokelson et al.,
center of the room began 2.1 m above the floor	(2500 g) when fuels were stacked in a cylindrical wire	SO ₂ , NO, NO ₂ , HNO ₃ ,	1997); the size, morphology and microstructure of particles
and extended through the chamber ceiling. A	cage. Several methods were used to ignite the fuel. Dry	and particle-phase	(Chakrabarty et al., 2006); aerosol hygroscopicity, cloud
46cm×61cm horizontal metal tray, namely fuel	fuels were ignited using a butane pilot lighter applied	organic carbon (OC),	condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN) activity (Carrico et al.,
bed, positioned on a balance and located directly	briefly to the edge of the fuel bed. Fuels with high fuel	elemental carbon (EC),	2010; DeMott et al., 2009; Petters et al., 2009a; Petters et al., 2009b);
beneath the inverted funnel. Sampling ports that	moistures required the application of a propane torch or	SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , Cl^{-} , Na^{+} ,	and optical properties of smoke particles (Lewis et al., 2009; Lewis et
originated near the center of the stack flow and	heated metal coils for a significant period of time, in some	K ⁺ , and NH ₄ ⁺	al., 2008; Mack et al., 2010). Recently, Stockwell et al. (2014) used
passed through the walls of the exhaust stack were	cases continuously, to maintain the fire. Both ignition		open-path Fourier transform infrared (OP-FTIR) spectroscopy system
located ~16.5 m above the floor, and were	methods often resulted in a propagating flame front that		and other instruments to measure trace gases, including CO2, CO,
accessed from a measurement platform (where	moved through the fuel bed and simultaneous flaming and		CH ₄ , ethyne (C ₂ H ₂), propylene (C ₃ H ₆), formaldehyde (HCHO),
temperature, pressure, trace gases, particulate	smoldering combustion in different parts of the fuel bed.		formic acid (HCOOH), methanol (CH ₃ OH), acetic acid (CH ₃ COOH),
measurements were deployed) near the ceiling.	There were also fuels placed on a lattice of heating tape		glycolaldehyde (C ₂ H ₄ O ₂), furan (C ₄ H ₄ O), water (H ₂ O), nitric oxide
	that was soaked with 15g of ethanol, which was vaporized		(NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂), nitrous acid (HONO), NH ₃ , hydrogen
	and ignited on heating, uniformly igniting the fuel bed.		cyanide (HCN), hydrogen chloride (HCl), and SO ₂ (Stockwell et al.,
			2014).

Table S-1, Continued

Location & Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
⁸ Desert Research Institute Division of Atmospheric Sciences, USA The flow dilution is controlled through an adjustable speed exhaust fan. By changing the speed of the fan, the amount of room air sucked into the chamber is controlled, thus the dilution rate. At maximum flow rate, 1 m³ per min air is pulled into the chamber that is 8 m³ in volume. At this flow rate, the smoke temperature is immediately cooled to room temperature.	The fire is either ignited with a propane torch or using a heated coil. Once the fuel is lit, it is allowed to burn until the fire stops. Ponderosa pine needles – 50 grams – dry (8.2% moisture content), Cheat grass – 40 grams – dry (6.7% moisture content), Alaskan peat– 22 grams at 25% and 20 grams 50% moisture content), Siberian peat – 23 grams (25% and 50% moisture content), and Florida swamp peat– 25 grams (25% moisture content) were burned.	CO, CO ₂ , H ₂ O, aerosol particle number and size distributions, NO, NO ₂ , NO _x , aerosol light absorption, and black carbon concentrations are measured continuously.	/
The experimental system consisted of a custom-made combustion stove (60 cm in diameter 80cm in height) and a stainless-steel environmental aerosol chamber of 4.5m³, equipped with a set of analytical instruments. The top of the stove was connected to the aerosol chamber through a stainless steel tube (diameter16mm). Air was introduced into the combustion stove through a port on the bottom. A hygroclip monitor was used to measure the temperature and relative humidity (RH) inside the chamber. A mixing fan was installed at the bottom of the chamber to give the air in the chamber full mixture. This system was able to control temperature from 203K to 373K and pressure from 14Pa to 0.22MPa.	Three major agricultural crop residues in China: rice, wheat, and corn straws. The rice straw was collected from a rural field in the suburbs of Shanghai, and the wheat and corn straw were from a rural region in Hebei province, China. About 20g of straw was burned for each experiment. Agricultural crop straw was weighed before and after each burning, and the unburned fraction and ash were collected for weighing. Before each burning, the chamber was purged by scrubbing and blowing air and then vacuumed to below 100 Pa. The agricultural crop straw was ignited with a propane torch in the stove. Then, the smoke produced was introduced into the chamber immediately by turning on the controlling valve until the pressure between the inside and the outside was equal. In the experimental processes, ambient air was introduced into the chamber through an activated charcoal filter and a filter, to maintain the pressure equilibrium in the chamber.	NO _x (NO and NO ₂), CO, CO ₂ , particulate number concentrations	This burning facility was used to determine particle size distribution and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) emissions from the burning of rice, wheat, and corn straws, three major agricultural crop residues in China (Zhang et al., 2011).

Table S-1, Continued

Location &Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
	Rice straw, maize residue and forest leaf litter (average moisture content was 9.32%,		
	6.51% and 12.20%, respectively) were collected from Chiang Mai Province, Thailand. 10g		
¹⁰ Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200,	sample was used in the burning of maize residue and leaf litter, 20g was used in rice straw		
<u>Thailand</u>	burning.		
A stainless steel burning facility consists of a	Before the burning, air inside the storage chamber was pumped out to reach a near vacuum		
burning chamber (0.50m in diameter, 1.20m in	condition. A biomass sample was put into a basket inside the burning chamber. Liquefied	CO, NO, NO ₂ , SO ₂ . PM ₁₀ ,	
height) equipped with a burning basket and a	petroleum gas was used for ignition. The burning of each fuel type took about 1 min and the	and ions in PM ₁₀ (HCOO ⁻ , Cl ⁻ ,	,
temperature sensor, and a storage chamber	temperature of the burning was between 500 and 800°C. After the fuel was burned out, a valve	NO ₃ -, PO ₄ ³ -,SO ₄ ² -, Na ⁺ , NH ₄ ⁺ ,	/
(0.85m in diameter, 2.0m in height) equipped	set up between the burning chamber and the storage chamber was opened. The pollutants	$K^{+}, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+})$	
with a Minivol Portable Air Sampler (Airmetrics,	emitted from the burning were drawn into the storage chamber. Concentrations of gases		
USA), gas analyzer (350-XL, Testo, Germany)	including CO, NO, NO ₂ and SO ₂ were continuously measured for approximately 5 min using a		
and vacuum pump (FY-1.5B, Mizu, Thailand).	gas analyzer. After that, the air inside the storage chamber was drawn out using an air sampler		
	at a flow rate of 5 L min ⁻¹ and the PM ₁₀ were collected on a pure quartz fiber filter (47 mm,		
	Whatman, UK) for 5 h.		
¹¹ Peking University, China			
A stainless steel hood with skirt was erected			
over a combustion pan (dimensions 1.5×1.5m ²)			
which was placed on an electronic balance.		00 00 4	
Smoke emitted was collected by the hood before		CO_2 , CO , non-methane	
going through a custom-made dilution tunnel and	Air-dried rice straw and sugarcane leaves collected from cultivated land in Pearl River	hydrocarbons (NMHCs),	,
residence chamber. A S-type pitot tube and a	Delta (PRD) region, China. 300~994g biomass were burned for each test.	oxygenated volatile organic	/
temperature senor was equipped in the dilution	The biomass was stacked horizontally on a burning pan.	compounds (OVOCs), PM ₁₀ ,	
tunnel. The residence chamber had a volume of		PM _{2.5} , OC and EC in PM _{2.5}	
50L, which needed about 30s for air flow to pass			
prior to particle sampling. Temperature in the			
residence chamber was not higher than 40°C.			

Location & Specifications	Fuel, Fire Ignition and Maintenance	Parameters Measured	Applications
Italian the specimental and the specimental and two different sampling lines. Italian	Dried leaf litter of <i>Quercus robur</i> collected from Mediterranean forest areas (~50g for each test). The biomass was placed on the burning basket, and the epiradiometer was placed just above the fuel at a distance of 1cm for ignition. The fan speed was regulated to maintain a constant air flow of 0.7 m/s inside the chimney to provide a good circulation of air within the chamber ensuring a good supply of oxygen.	CO, CO ₂ , VOCs, PM.	/

Detailed description please refer to: 1. (Darley et al., 1966); 2. (Lobert et al., 1990); 3. (Soares Neto et al., 2011); 4. (Lemieux et al., 2000); 5. (Kamalak Kannan et al., 2004); 6. (Iinuma et al., 2007); 7. (McMeeking et al., 2009); 8. Personal communication; 9. (Zhang et al., 2008); 10. (Sillapapiromsuk et al., 2013); 11. (Zhang et al., 2013); 12. (Lusini et al., 2014).

Table S-2. Parameters used to estimate EFs taking one wheat straw combustion test as an example.

	$CO_2^{\ b}$	CO^b	$PM_{2.5}^{c}$	OC^c	ECc
t _{sample} (s)	450	450	450	450	450
$\sum {C_{Dil,i}}^a (\text{mg m}^{-3})$	357713	13969	/	/	/
$m_{filter}(mg)$	/	/	0.124	0.031	0.010
$Q_{filter} (m^3)$	/	/	0.0375	0.0375	0.0375
DR	5	5	5	5	5
V_{Stk} (m s ⁻¹)	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.86
$D(m^2)$	0.0177	0.0177	0.0177	0.0177	0.0177
$m_{\text{fuel}}\left(\mathbf{g}\right)$	85.37	85.37	85.37	85.37	85.37
EF(g/kg)	1431	55.90	5.95	1.49	0.48

a. $\sum C_{Dil,i}$ is an integral value during the whole sampling from t=1 to t= t_{sample} ;

b. EF was calculated by Equation (1) in the text;

c. EF was calculated by Equation (2) in the text.

References:

- Bertschi, I., Yokelson, R.J., Ward, D.E., Babbitt, R.E., Susott, R.A., Goode, J.G. and Hao, W.M. (2003). Trace Gas and Particle Emissions from Fires in Large Diameter and Belowground Biomass Fuels. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 108 (D13): 8472, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002100.
- Boubel, R.W., Darley, E.F. and Schuck, E.A. (1969). Emissions from Burning Grass Stubble and Straw. J. Air. Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 19: 497-500.
- Burling, I., Yokelson, R.J., Griffith, D.W., Johnson, T.J., Veres, P., Roberts, J., Warneke, C., Urbanski, S., Reardon, J. and Weise, D. (2010). Laboratory Measurements of Trace Gas Emissions from Biomass Burning of Fuel Types from the Southeastern and Southwestern United States. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10: 11115-11130.
- Carrico, C., Petters, M., Kreidenweis, S., Sullivan, A., McMeeking, G., Levin, E., Engling, G., Malm, W. and Collett Jr, J. (2010). Water Uptake and Chemical Composition of Fresh Aerosols Generated in Open Burning of Biomass. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10: 5165-5178.
- Chakrabarty, R.K., Moosmüller, H., Garro, M.A., Arnott, W.P., Walker, J., Susott,
 R.A., Babbitt, R.E., Wold, C.E., Lincoln, E.N. and Hao, W.M. (2006). Emissions
 from the Laboratory Combustion of Wildland Fuels: Particle Morphology and Size.
 J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 111: D07204, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006659.
- Chen, L.W.A., Moosmüller, H., Arnott, W.P., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., Susott, R.A., Babbitt, R.E., Wold, C.E., Lincoln, E.N. and Hao, W.M. (2007). Emissions from

- Laboratory Combustion of Wildland Fuels: Emission Factors and Source Profiles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41: 4317-4325.
- Chen, L.W.A., Moosmüller, H., Arnott, W.P., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., Susott, R.A., Babbitt, R.E., Wold, C.E., Lincoln, E.N. and Hao, W.M. (2006). Particle Emissions from Laboratory Combustion of Wildland Fuels: In Situ Optical and Mass Measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33: L04803, doi: 10.1029/2005GL024838.
- Christian, T.J., Kleiss, B., R. J, Y., R, H., Crutzen, P.J., W. M, H., H.Saharjo, B. and Ward, D.E. (2003). Comprehensive Labortory Measurements of Biomass-Burning Emissions: 1. Emissions from Indonesian, African, and Other Fuels. J. Geophys. Res. 108 (D23): 4719, doi: 10.1029/2003JD003704.
- Darley, E.F. (1977). Emission Factors from Burning Agricultural Wastes Collected in California. State Air Pollution Research Center, University of California, Riverside.
- Darley, E.F., Burleson, F., Mateer, E., Middleton, J.T. and Osterli, V. (1966).Contribution of Burning of Agricultural Wastes to Photochemical Air Pollution. J.Air. Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 16: 685-690.
- DeMott, P.J., Petters, M.D., Prenni, A.J., Carrico, C.M., Kreidenweis, S.M., Collett, J.L. and Moosmüller, H. (2009). Ice Nucleation Behavior of Biomass Combustion Particles at Cirrus Temperatures. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 114: D16205, doi: 10.1029/2009JD012036.
- Dhammapala, R., Claiborn, C., Corkill, J. and Gullett, B. (2006). Particulate Emissions from Wheat and Kentucky Bluegrass Stubble Burning in Eastern Washington and Northern Idaho. Atmos. Environ. 40: 1007-1015.

- Dhammapala, R., Claiborn, C., Jimenez, J., Corkill, J., Gullett, B., Simpson, C. and Paulsen, M. (2007). Emission Factors of PAHs, Methoxyphenols, Levoglucosan, Elemental Carbon and Organic Carbon from Simulated Wheat and Kentucky Bluegrass Stubble Burns. Atmos. Environ. 41: 2660-2669.
- Freeborn, P.H., Wooster, M.J., Hao, W.M., Ryan, C.A., Nordgren, B.L., Baker, S.P. and Ichoku, C. (2008). Relationships Between Energy Release, Fuel Mass Loss, and Trace Gas and Aerosol Emissions during Laboratory Biomass Fires. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 113: D01301, doi: 10.1029/2007JD008679.
- Friedli, H.R., Radke, L.F., Lu, J.Y., Banic, C.M., Leaitch, W.R. and MacPherson, J.I. (2003). Mercury Emissions from Burning of Biomass from Temperate North American forests: Laboratory and Airborne Measurements. Atmos. Environ. 37: 253-267.
- Gerstle, R. and Kemnitz, D. (1967). Atmospheric Emissions from Open Burning. J. Air. Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 17: 324-327.
- Goode, J.G., Yokelson, R.J., Susott, R.A. and Ward, D.E. (1999). Trace Gas Emissions from Laboratory Biomass Fires Measured by Open-Path Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy: Fires in Grass and Surface Fuels. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 104: 21237-21245.
- Griffith, D.W.T., Mankin, W.G., Coffey, M.T., Ward, D.E. and Riebau, A. (1991).

 FTIR Remote Sensing of Biomass Burning Emissions of CO₂, CO, CH₄, CH₂O, NO, NO₂, NH₃, and N₂O. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and

- Biospheric Implications, Levine, J.S. (Eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA. p. 230-241.
- Gullett, B.K., Lemieux, P.M., Lutes, C.C., Winterrowd, C.K. and Winters, D.L. (2001). Emissions of PCDD/F from Uncontrolled, Domestic Waste Burning. Chemosphere. 43: 721-725.
- Gullett, B.K. and Touati, A. (2003). PCDD/F Emissions from Forest Fire Simulations. Atmos. Environ. 37: 803-813.
- Gullett, B.K., Touati, A., Huwe, J. and Hakk, H. (2006). PCDD and PCDF Emissions from Simulated Sugarcane Field Burning. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40: 6228-6234.
- Hao, W.M., Scharffe, D., Lobert, J. and Grutzen, P. (1991). Emissions of N₂O from the Burning of Biomass in an Experimental System. Geophys. Res. Lett. 18: 999-1002.
- Hays, M.D., Fine, P.M., Geron, C.D., Kleeman, M.J. and Gullett, B.K. (2005). Open Burning of Agricultural Biomass: Physical and Chemical Properties of Particle-Phase Emissions. Atmos. Environ. 39: 6747-6764.
- Hays, M.D., Geron, C.D., Linna, K.J., Smith, N.D. and Schauer, J.J. (2002).Speciation of Gas-Phase and Fine Particle Emissions from Burning of Foliar Fuels.Environ. Sci. Technol. 36: 2281-2295.
- Holzinger, R., Warneke, C., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., Scharffe, D.H., Schade, G. and Crutzen, P.J. (1999). Biomass Burning as a Source of Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Methanol, Acetone, Acetonitrile, and Hydrogen Cyanide. Geophys. Res. Lett. 26: 1161-1164.

- Iinuma, Y., Brüggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Müller, K., Andreae, M., Helas, G., Parmar,
 R. and Herrmann, H. (2007). Source Characterization of Biomass Burning Particles:
 The Combustion of Selected European Conifers, African Hardwood, Savanna
 Grass, and German and Indonesian Peat. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 112: D08209, doi:
 10.1029/2006JD007120.
- Kamalak Kannan, G., Gupta, M. and Chandra Kapoor, J. (2004). Estimation of Gaseous Products and Particulate Matter Emission from Garden Biomass Combustion in a Simulation Fire Test Chamber. Atmos. Environ. 38: 6701-6710.
- Keene, W.C., Lobert, J.M., Crutzen, P.J., Maben, J.R., Scharffe, D.H., Landmann, T.,
 Hély, C. and Brain, C. (2006). Emissions of Major Gaseous and Particulate Species
 during Experimental Burns of Southern African Biomass. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.
 111: D04301, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006319.
- Kleeman, M.J., Robert, M.A., Riddle, S.G., Fine, P.M., Hays, M.D., Schauer, J.J. and Hannigan, M.P. (2008). Size Distribution of Trace Organic Species Emitted from Biomass Combustion and Meat Charbroiling. Atmos. Environ. 42: 3059-3075.
- Lee, T., Sullivan, A.P., Mack, L., Jimenez, J.L., Kreidenweis, S.M., Onasch, T.B., Worsnop, D.R., Malm, W., Wold, C.E. and Hao, W.M. (2010). Chemical Smoke Marker Emissions during Flaming and Smoldering Phases of Laboratory Open Burning of Wildland Fuels. Aerosol. Sci. Tech. 44: 1-5.
- Lemieux, P.M. (1997). Evaluation of Emissions from the Open Burning of Household Waste in Barrels. EPA, EPA-600/R-97-134a.

- Lemieux, P.M., Lutes, C.C., Abbott, J.A. and Aldous, K.M. (2000). Emissions of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-P-Dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans from the Open Burning of Household Waste in Barrels. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34: 377-384.
- Levin, E., McMeeking, G., Carrico, C., Mack, L., Kreidenweis, S., Wold, C., Moosmüller, H., Arnott, W., Hao, W. and Collett, J. (2010). Biomass Burning Smoke Aerosol Properties Measured during Fire Laboratory at Missoula Experiments (FLAME). J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 115: D18210, doi: 10.1029/2009JD013601.
- Lewis, K., Arnott, W., Moosmüller, H., Chakrabarty, R., Carrico, C., Kreidenweis, S.,
 Day, D., Malm, W., Laskin, A. and Jimenez, J. (2009). Reduction in Biomass
 Burning Aerosol Light Absorption upon Humidification: Roles of
 Inorganically-Induced Hygroscopicity, Particle Collapse, and Photoacoustic Heat
 and Mass Transfer. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9: 8949-8966.
- Lewis, K., Arnott, W.P., Moosmüller, H. and Wold, C.E. (2008). Strong Spectral Variation of Biomass Smoke Light Absorption and Single Scattering Albedo Observed with a Novel Dual-Wavelength Photoacoustic Instrument. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 113: D16203, doi: 10.1029/2007JD009699.
- Lobert, J., Davis, M., Crowcier, C. and Richardson Jr, J. (1990). Importance of Biomass Burning in the Atmospheric Budgets of Nitrogen-Containing Gases.

 Nature. 346: 552-554.
- Lobert, J., Scharffe, D. and Hao, W.M. (1991). Experimental Evaluation of Biomass Burning Emissions-Nitrogen and Carbon Containing Compounds. Global Biomass

- Burning-Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, Levine, J.S. (Eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA. p. 289-304.
- Lusini, I., Pallozzi, E., Corona, P., Ciccioli, P. and Calfapietra, C. (2014). Novel Application of a Combustion Chamber for Experimental Assessment of Biomass Burning Emission. Atmos. Environ. 94: 117-125.
- Mack, L., Levin, E., Kreidenweis, S., Obrist, D., Moosmüller, H., Lewis, K., Arnott,W., McMeeking, G., Sullivan, A. and Wold, C. (2010). Optical ClosureExperiments for Biomass Smoke Aerosols. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10: 9017-9026.
- Mazzoleni, L.R., Zielinska, B. and Moosmüller, H. (2007). Emissions of Levoglucosan, Methoxy Phenols, and Organic Acids from Prescribed Burns, Laboratory Combustion of Wildland Fuels, and Residential Wood Combustion. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41: 2115-2122.
- McMeeking, G.R., Kreidenweis, S.M., Baker, S., Carrico, C.M., Chow, J.C., Collett, J.L., Hao, W.M., Holden, A.S., Kirchstetter, T.W., Malm, W.C., Moosmüller, H., Sullivan, A.P. and Wold, C.E. (2009). Emissions of Trace Gases and Aerosols during the Open Combustion of Biomass in the Laboratory. J. Geophys. Res. 114: D19210, doi: 10.1029/2009JD011836.
- Obrist, D., Moosmüller, H., Schürmann, R., Chen, L.-W.A. and Kreidenweis, S.M. (2007). Particulate-Phase and Gaseous Elemental Mercury Emissions during Biomass Combustion: Controlling Factors and Correlation with Particulate Matter Emissions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42: 721-727.

- Petters, M.D., Carrico, C.M., Kreidenweis, S.M., Prenni, A.J., DeMott, P.J., Collett,
 J.L. and Moosmueller, H. (2009a). Cloud Condensation Nucleation Activity of
 Biomass Burning Aerosol. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 114: D22205, doi: 10.1029/2009JD012353.
- Petters, M.D., Parsons, M.T., Prenni, A.J., DeMott, P.J., Kreidenweis, S.M., Carrico, C.M., Sullivan, A.P., McMeeking, G.R., Levin, E. and Wold, C.E. (2009b). Ice Nuclei Emissions from Biomass Burning. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 114: D07209, doi: 10.1029/2008JD011532.
- Sillapapiromsuk, S., Chantara, S., Tengjaroenkul, U., Prasitwattanaseree, S. and Prapamontol, T. (2013). Determination of PM₁₀ and Its Ion Composition Emitted from Biomass Burning in the Chamber for Estimation of Open Burning Emissions. Chemosphere. 93: 1912-1919.
- Soares Neto, T., Carvalho Jr, J., Cortez, E., Azevedo, R., Oliveira, R., Fidalgo, W. and Santos, J. (2011). Laboratory Evaluation of Amazon Forest Biomass Burning Emissions. Atmos. Environ. 45: 7455-7461.
- Stockwell, C.E., Yokelson, R.J., Kreidenweis, S.M., Robinson, A.L., DeMott, P.J., Sullivan, R.C., Reardon, J., Ryan, K.C., Griffith, D.W.T. and Stevens, L. (2014). Trace Gas Emissions from Combustion of Peat, Crop Residue, Domestic Biofuels, Grasses, and other Fuels: Configuration and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Component of the Fourth Fire Lab at Missoula Experiment (FLAME-4). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14: 9727-9754.

- Sullivan, A., Holden, A., Patterson, L., McMeeking, G., Kreidenweis, S., Malm, W.,
 Hao, W., Wold, C. and Collett, J. (2008). A Method for Smoke Marker
 Measurements and Its Potential Application for Determining the Contribution of
 Biomass Burning from Wildfires and Prescribed Fires to Ambient PM_{2.5} Organic
 Carbon. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 113: D22302, doi: 10.1029/2008JD010216.
- Warneke, C., Roberts, J.M., Veres, P., Gilman, J., Kuster, W.C., Burling, I., Yokelson,
 R. and de Gouw, J.A. (2011). VOC Identification and Inter-Comparison from
 Laboratory Biomass Burning Using PTR-MS and PIT-MS. Int. J. Mass. Spectrom.
 303: 6-14.
- Yokelson, R.J., Burling, I., Urbanski, S., Atlas, E., Adachi, K., Buseck, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S., Toohey, D. and Wold, C. (2011). Trace Gas and Particle Emissions from Open Biomass Burning in Mexico. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11: 6787-6808.
- Yokelson, R.J., Christian, T.J., Karl, T. and Guenther, A. (2008). The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment: Laboratory Fire Measurements and Synthesis of Campaign Data. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 8: 3509-3527.
- Yokelson, R.J., Griffith, D.W. and Ward, D.E. (1996). Open-Path Fourier Transform Infrared Studies of Large-Scale Laboratory Biomass Fires. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 101: 21067-21080.
- Yokelson, R.J., Susott, R., Ward, D.E., Reardon, J. and Griffith, D.W. (1997).

 Emissions from Smoldering Combustion of Biomass Measured by Open-Path

- Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 102: 18865-18877.
- Zhang, H., Hu, D., Chen, J., Ye, X., Wang, S.X., Hao, J.M., Wang, L., Zhang, R. and An, Z. (2011). Particle Size Distribution and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Emissions from Agricultural Crop Residue Burning. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45: 5477-5482.
- Zhang, H., Ye, X., Cheng, T., Chen, J., Yang, X., Wang, L. and Zhang, R. (2008). A Laboratory Study of Agricultural Crop Residue Combustion in China: Emission Factors and Emission Inventory. Atmos. Environ. 42: 8432-8441.
- Zhang, Y., Shao, M., Lin, Y., Luan, S., Mao, N., Chen, W. and Wang, M. (2013).Emission Inventory of Carbonaceous Pollutants from Biomass Burning in the PearlRiver Delta Region, China. Atmos. Environ. 76: 189-199.